arXiv:nlin/0012016v1 [nlin.SI] 10 Dec 2000

Time dependence and (non)commutativity of symmetries of evolution equations

Artur Sergyeyev,
Mathematical Institute, Silesian University at Opava,
Bezručovo nám. 13, 746 01 Opava, Czech Republic
E-mail: Artur.Sergyeyev@math.slu.cz, arthurser@imath.kiev.ua

Abstract

We present easily verifiable sufficient conditions of time-independence and commutativity for local and nonlocal symmetries for a large class of homogeneous (1+1)-dimensional evolution systems. In contrast with the majority of known results, the verification of our conditions does not require the existence of master symmetry or hereditary recursion operator for the system in question. We also give simple sufficient conditions for the existence of infinite sets of time-independent symmetries for homogeneous (1+1)-dimensional evolution systems within slightly modified master symmetry approach.

1 Introduction

Nearly all known today integrable systems are homogeneous with respect to some scaling. For such systems no generality is lost in assuming the homogeneity of symmetries, master symmetries, recursion operators, etc., and this considerably simplifies their finding and study, see e.g. [1]–[10].

In the present paper we combine this well-known idea with our new results on the structure of *time-dependent* (cf. e.g. [6, 11, 12, 13, 14] for the time-independent case) formal symmetries for a natural generalization of the systems, considered in [11, 12, 15], namely, for (1+1)-dimensional nondegenerate weakly diagonalizable (NWD) evolution systems with constraints. This enables us to find simple sufficient conditions for the commutativity and time-independence of higher order symmetries and for the existence of infinite number of such symmetries for *homogeneous* NWD systems with constraints. Note that the majority of known [8, 10, 12] and recently found, see e.g. [7, 16, 17], integrable evolution systems in (1+1) dimensions fit into this class. Moreover, our results, unlike the majority of already known ones, are valid for the systems with time-dependent coefficients as well, cf. e.g. [18], and are not restricted to scalar equations.

Let us stress that the proofs and the application of our results involve just an easy verification of some weight-related conditions and do *not* rely on the existence of a master symmetry or e.g. (hereditary) recursion operator. Hence, the results of present paper, except for those on existence of infinitely many symmetries, can be applied to non-integrable systems as well. On the other hand, the simplicity of use makes our results particularly helpful in the study of new integrable systems, for which only a few higher order symmetries and (sometimes) a 'candidate' for the master symmetry are known, but no recursion operator is yet found. Indeed, we show that the check of a small number of conditions for the low order symmetries can replace tedious checks, cf. [19], that time-independent symmetries of sufficiently high order commute, that a 'candidate' for master symmetry is a nontrivial master symmetry and that its action yields the symmetries being well-defined (cf. [10] for recursion operators and *local* symmetries) functions of local variables $x, t, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_1, \ldots$ and of nonlocal variables ω_γ, defined below.

Note that, unlike [4, 5, 19], in order to prove the existence of infinitely many symmetries we do not make *a priori* extra assumptions, say, about the existence of "negative" master symmetries $\boldsymbol{\tau}_j$, $j < 0$ [19]: all we need is a suitable 'candidate' $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ for the master symmetry and a higher order time-independent symmetry. We also show that in order to verify the commutativity of *all* higher order time-independent homogeneous symmetries at once it suffices to check only a small number of conditions for the time-independent symmetries of order lower than two. Moreover, checks of this kind are almost entirely algorithmic, so computer algebra software can be readily applied to perform them.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some definitions and facts, being the straightforward extension of those from [11, 12, 15] to the case of explicitly time-dependent evolution systems with constraints. In Section 3 we present the sufficient conditions of well-definiteness of the symmetries generated by means of master symmetry for the general evolution systems with constraints. In Section 4 we define nondegenerate weakly diagonalizable (NWD) systems with constraints and present some results on structure of their formal symmetries. In Section 5 we find the sufficient conditions for commutativity and time-independence of higher order symmetries and for the existence of infinite hierarchies of time-independent higher order symmetries for homogeneous NWD systems with constraints.

2 Basic definitions and structures

Let us consider a system of evolution equations with constraints (cf. [15])

$$\partial \mathbf{u}/\partial t = \mathbf{F}(x, t, \mathbf{u}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{n'}, \vec{\omega}) \tag{1}$$

for the vector function $\mathbf{u} = (u^1, \ldots, u^s)^T$. Here $\mathbf{u}_j = \partial^j \mathbf{u}/\partial x^j$,$\mathbf{u}_0 \equiv \mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{F} = (F^1, \ldots, F^s)^T$; $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_c)^T$; ^{T} denotes the matrix transposition. The nonlocal variables ω_α are defined here by means of the relations [15, 20]

$$\partial \omega_\alpha/\partial x = X_\alpha(x, t, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_h, \vec{\omega}), \tag{2}$$

$$\partial \omega_\alpha/\partial t = T_\alpha(x, t, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_h, \vec{\omega}). \tag{3}$$

We shall denote by Ω the set of nonlocal variables ω_γ, $\gamma = 1, \ldots, c$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{j,k}(\Omega)$ be the algebra of all locally analytic scalar functions of $x, t, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_j, \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k$ with respect to the standard multiplication, $\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}(\Omega) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{c} \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{j,k}(\Omega)$, and let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{loc}} = \{f \in \mathcal{A} \mid \partial f/\partial \vec{\omega} = 0\}$ be the subalgebra of *local* functions in $\mathcal{A}$. Note that we do not exclude the case $c = \infty$. The operators of total x- and t-derivatives on $\mathcal{A}$ have the form

$$\begin{aligned} D \equiv D_x &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{u}_{i+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_i} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{c} X_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_\alpha}, \\ D_t &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} D^i(\mathbf{F}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{u}_i} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{c} T_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Following [15, 20], we require that $[D_x, D_t] = 0$ or, equivalently, $D_t(X_\alpha) = D_x(T_\alpha)$ for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, c$.

We shall denote by $\operatorname{Im} D$ the image of $\mathcal{A}$ under D. Throughout this paper except for Section 3 we make a *blanket assumption* that the kernel of D in $\mathcal{A}$ consists solely of functions of t.

Consider the set $\mathrm{Mat}_p(\mathcal{A})\llbracket D^{-1}\rrbracket$ of *formal series* in powers of D of the form $\mathfrak{H} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{q} h_j D^j$, where h_j are $p \times p$ matrices with entries from $\mathcal{A}$, cf. e.g. [11, 12]. We shall write for short $\mathcal{A}\llbracket D^{-1}\rrbracket$ instead of $\mathrm{Mat}_1(\mathcal{A})\llbracket D^{-1}\rrbracket$.

The greatest $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $h_m \neq 0$ is called the *degree* of $\mathfrak{H} \in \mathrm{Mat}_p(\mathcal{A})\llbracket D^{-1}\rrbracket$ and is denoted as $m = \deg \mathfrak{H}$. We assume that $\deg 0 = -\infty$, cf. e.g. [1]. The formal series $\mathfrak{H}$ of degree m is called *nondegenerate* [12], if $\det h_m \neq 0$. For $\mathfrak{H} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{m} h_j D^j \in \mathcal{A}\llbracket D^{-1}\rrbracket$, $h_m \neq 0$, its *residue* and *logarithmic residue* are defined as $\operatorname{res} \mathfrak{H} = h_{-1}$ and $\operatorname{res} \ln \mathfrak{H} = h_{m-1}/h_m$ [11, 12].

The set $\mathrm{Mat}_p(\mathcal{A})[\![D^{-1}]\!]$ is an algebra under the multiplication law, given by the "generalized Leibniz rule", cf. [1],

$$aD^i \circ bD^j = a\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{i(i-1)\cdots(i-q+1)}{q!} D^q(b)D^{i+j-q}$$

for monomials aD^i, bD^j, $a, b \in \mathrm{Mat}_p(\mathcal{A})$, and extended by linearity to the whole $\mathrm{Mat}_p(\mathcal{A})[\![D^{-1}]\!]$. The commutator $[\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}] = \mathfrak{A} \circ \mathfrak{B} - \mathfrak{B} \circ \mathfrak{A}$ makes $\mathrm{Mat}_p(\mathcal{A})[\![D^{-1}]\!]$ into a Lie algebra. Below we omit $\circ$ if this is not confusing.

$\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{A}^s$ is called, see e.g. [1, 2, 3], a *symmetry* for (1)–(3), if

$$\partial\mathbf{G}/\partial t + [\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}] = 0, \tag{4}$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the Lie bracket $[\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{H}] = \mathbf{H}'[\mathbf{K}] - \mathbf{K}'[\mathbf{H}]$. The directional derivative of any (smooth) function $f \in \mathcal{A}^q$ along $\mathbf{H} \in \mathcal{A}^s$ is defined here as $f'[\mathbf{H}] = (df(x, t, \mathbf{u} + \epsilon\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{u}_1 + \epsilon D_x(\mathbf{H}), \ldots)/d\epsilon)_{\epsilon=0}$. Extending the technique of [15] to the case of time-dependent systems (1)–(3), we can easily show that for any $f \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $f' \in \mathcal{A}[\![D^{-1}]\!]$.

For any $f \in \mathcal{A}^q$ we shall define its *formal order* as $\mathrm{ford}\, f = \deg f'$. This naturally generalizes the notion of order for local functions, cf. e.g. [1, 12].

Let $S_F(\mathcal{A})$ be the set of all symmetries $\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{A}^s$ for (1)–(3), $S_F^{(k)}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathbf{G} \in S_F(\mathcal{A}) \mid \mathrm{ford}\,\mathbf{G} \leq k\}$, $\mathrm{Ann}_F(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathbf{G} \in S_F(\mathcal{A}) \mid \partial\mathbf{G}/\partial t = 0\}$. In general, for $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{loc}}$ neither $\mathcal{A}^s$ nor $S_F(\mathcal{A})$ are closed under the Lie bracket, but if $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}] \in \mathcal{A}^s$ for some $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \in S_F(\mathcal{A})$, then we have $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}] \in S_F(\mathcal{A})$.

A formal series $\mathfrak{R} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{r} \eta_j D^j \in \mathrm{Mat}_s(\mathcal{A})[\![D^{-1}]\!]$ is called [1, 11, 15] the *formal symmetry* of rank m for (1) (or, rather, for (1)–(3)), provided

$$\deg(D_t(\mathfrak{R}) - [\mathbf{F}', \mathfrak{R}]) \leq \deg \mathbf{F}' + \deg \mathfrak{R} - m. \tag{5}$$

The derivative $D_t(\mathfrak{R})$ is defined here as $D_t(\mathfrak{R}) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{r} D_t(\eta_j)D^j$.

The set $FS_F^{(q)}(\mathcal{A})$ of all formal symmetries of rank not lower than q of system (1)–(3) is a Lie algebra, because for the formal symmetries $\mathfrak{P}$ and $\mathfrak{Q}$ of ranks p and q we have $[\mathfrak{P}, \mathfrak{Q}] \in FS_F^{(r)}(\mathcal{A})$ for $r = \min(p, q)$, cf. [12].

Eq.(4) is well known to be nothing but the compatibility condition for (1) and $\partial\mathbf{u}/\partial\tau = \mathbf{G}$. Provided $\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{A}^s$, we have $\partial(\partial\mathbf{u}/\partial\tau)\partial t = D_t(\mathbf{G})$ and $\partial(\partial\mathbf{u}/\partial t)\partial\tau = \mathbf{F}'[\mathbf{G}]$. Hence Eq.(4) may be rewritten as $D_t(\mathbf{G}) = \mathbf{F}'[\mathbf{G}]$.

Let $\mathbf{F}' \equiv \sum\limits_{i=-\infty}^{n} \phi_i D^i$ and $n_0 = \begin{cases} 1 - j, \text{ if } \phi_i = \phi_i(x, t), i = n - j, \ldots, n, \\ 2 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Since $D_t(\mathbf{G}) = \mathbf{F}'[\mathbf{G}]$ implies $D_t(\mathbf{G}') - [\mathbf{F}', \mathbf{G}'] - \mathbf{F}''[\mathbf{G}] = 0$, and $\deg \mathbf{F}''[\mathbf{G}] \leq \deg \mathbf{F}' + n_0 - 2$, we readily see that $\mathbf{G}' \in FS_F^{(\mathrm{ford}\,\mathbf{G} - n_0 + 2)}(\mathcal{A})$.

3 Action of master symmetries on time-independent symmetries

As we have already mentioned above, for $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{A}^s$ in general $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}] \notin \mathcal{A}^s$. In particular, when we repeatedly commute a master symmetry $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{A}^s$ with some time-independent symmetry $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathrm{Ann}_F(\mathcal{A})$, it is by no means obvious that $\mathbf{Q}_i = \mathrm{ad}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^i(\mathbf{Q}) = [\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{Q}_{i-1}]$ belong to $\mathcal{A}^s$, except for the case $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{loc}}$. In some cases we can make the conditions $[\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{Q}_i] \in \mathcal{A}^s$ or $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}] \in \mathcal{A}^s$ hold by introducing new nonlocal variables $\tilde{\omega}_\kappa$ and thus replacing $\mathcal{A}$ by a larger algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. But in order that $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}] \in \mathcal{A}^s$ for $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{A}^s$ it obviously suffices to require that $\omega_\mu'[\mathbf{P}] \in \mathcal{A}$ for those ω_μ on which $\mathbf{Q}$ actually depends and $\omega_\nu'[\mathbf{Q}] \in \mathcal{A}$ for those ω_ν on which $\mathbf{P}$ actually depends, cf. Ch. 6 in [20].

Moreover, we have

Proposition 1 *Let* $\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{A}^s$, $\omega'_\gamma[\mathbf{Q}] \in \mathcal{A}$ *and* $\omega'_\gamma[\boldsymbol{\tau}] \in \mathcal{A}$ *for* $\gamma = 1, \dots, c$. *Then* $\mathbf{Q}_l = \mathrm{ad}^l_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\mathbf{Q}) \in \mathcal{A}^s$ *for all* $l = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. Let us use induction. To prove that $[\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{Q}_l] \in \mathcal{A}^s$, if $\mathbf{Q}_l = [\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{Q}_{l-1}] \in \mathcal{A}^s$, it suffices to prove that $\omega'_\nu([\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{Q}_{l-1}]) \in \mathcal{A}$ for all ω_ν which $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ depends on and that $\omega'_\delta[\boldsymbol{\tau}] \in \mathcal{A}$ for all ω_δ which $[\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{Q}_{l-1}]$ depends on. As $\omega'_\nu([\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{Q}_{l-1}]) = (\omega'_\nu[\mathbf{Q}_{l-1}])'[\boldsymbol{\tau}] - (\omega'_\nu[\boldsymbol{\tau}])'[\mathbf{Q}_{l-1}]$, it suffices that $\omega'_\gamma[\boldsymbol{\tau}] \in \mathcal{A}$ for all ω_γ, which $[\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{Q}_{l-1}]$ and $\omega'_\nu[\mathbf{Q}_{l-1}]$ depend on, and $\omega'_\kappa[\mathbf{Q}_{l-1}] \in \mathcal{A}$ for all ω_κ which $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ and $\omega'_\nu[\boldsymbol{\tau}]$ depend on, in order that $[\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{Q}_l] \in \mathcal{A}^s$. □

It appears that nearly all known master symmetries of integrable systems (1)–(3) satisfy the conditions of Proposition 1 for a suitably chosen set Ω of nonlocal variables ω_γ, so their action indeed yields the symmetries from $\mathcal{A}^s$. For instance, if $\partial\mathbf{F}/\partial\vec{\omega} = 0$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\Omega_{\mathrm{UAC},F})$, then by virtue of the results of [20] Proposition 1 holds true for any $\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{Q} \in S_F(\mathcal{A})$. Here $\Omega_{\mathrm{UAC},F}$ is the set of all nonlocal variables ω_γ associated with the universal abelian covering (see [20] for its definition) over (1). Let us stress that Proposition 1 is valid for any $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ and $\mathbf{Q}$ meeting the relevant requirements, no matter whether $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is a master symmetry and $\mathbf{Q}$ is a symmetry for (1)–(3).

Note that Proposition 1 is obviously valid for more general systems of PDEs with constraints than (1)–(3), if we suitably redefine for them the Lie bracket, the directional derivative and the algebra $\mathcal{A}$.

4 The structure of formal symmetries for NWD systems

Consider a particular class of evolution systems with constraints (1)–(3) such that $n \equiv \mathrm{ford}\,\mathbf{F} \geq 2$ and the leading coefficient Φ of the formal series $\mathbf{F}'$ (i.e., $\mathbf{F}' \equiv \Phi D^n + \dots$) has s distinct eigenvalues λ_i and can be diagonalized by means of a matrix $\Gamma = \Gamma(x, t, \mathbf{u}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{n'}, \vec{\omega})$, i.e., the matrix $\Lambda = \Gamma\Phi\Gamma^{-1}$ is diagonal, cf. [11, 12]. For these systems there exists a unique formal series $\mathfrak{T} = \Gamma + \Gamma\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\Gamma_j D^{-j} \in \mathrm{Mat}_s(\mathcal{A})[\![D^{-1}]\!]$ such that all coefficients of the formal series $\mathfrak{V} = \mathfrak{T}\mathbf{F}'\mathfrak{T}^{-1} + (D_t(\mathfrak{T}))\mathfrak{T}^{-1}$ are diagonal matrices and the diagonal entries of matrices $\Gamma_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$, are equal to zero. The proof is essentially the same as for Proposition 3.1 from [11]. We shall call the systems with constraints (1)–(3) having the above properties and such that $\det\Phi \neq 0$ *nondegenerate weakly diagonalizable (NWD).* Note that when $\mathbf{u}$ is scalar, i.e., $s = 1$, any system (1)–(3) with $n \equiv \mathrm{ford}\,\mathbf{F} \geq 2$ obviously is an NWD system with constraints, having $\mathfrak{T} = 1$ and $\mathfrak{V} = \mathbf{F}'$.

Below in this section (1)–(3) will be an NWD system with constraints.

Eq.(5) yields [11, 12] $\deg(D_t(\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}) - [\mathfrak{V}, \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}]) \leq \deg\mathfrak{V} + \deg\tilde{\mathfrak{R}} - m$, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}} = \mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{T}^{-1}$, whence we find (cf. [6, 12, 13]) that any $\mathfrak{R} \in FS^{(n+1)}_F(\mathcal{A})$ can be represented in the form

$$\begin{gathered}\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{T}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=r-n+1}^{r} c_j(t)\mathfrak{V}^{j/n}\right)\mathfrak{T} + \frac{1}{n}\mathfrak{T}^{-1}\left(D^{-1}\left(\dot{c}_r(t)\Lambda^{-1/n}\right.\right.\\ \left.\left.-rc_r(t)D_t(\Lambda^{-1/n})\right)\right)\mathfrak{V}^{\frac{r-n+1}{n}}\mathfrak{T} + \mathfrak{N},\ \deg\mathfrak{N} < r-n+1.\end{gathered} \tag{6}$$

Likewise, for $\mathfrak{R} \in FS^{(m)}_F(\mathcal{A})$ with $m = 2, \dots, n$ we have

$$\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{T}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=r-m+2}^{r} c_j(t)\mathfrak{V}^{j/n}\right)\mathfrak{T} + \mathfrak{N},\ \deg\mathfrak{N} < r-m+2. \tag{7}$$

Here $r = \deg\mathfrak{R}$, $\mathfrak{N} = bD^\nu + \cdots \in \mathrm{Mat}_s(\mathcal{A})[\![D^{-1}]\!]$ is some formal series, $\nu = r-n$ in (6) and $\nu = r-m+1$ in (7); $c_j(t)$ and $\Gamma b\Gamma^{-1}$ are diagonal $s \times s$ matrices; for $\mathfrak{V} \equiv \mathrm{diag}(\mathfrak{V}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{V}_s)$, $\mathfrak{V}_i \in \mathcal{A}[\![D^{-1}]\!]$, we set $\mathfrak{V}^{j/n} = \mathrm{diag}(\mathfrak{V}_1^{j/n}, \dots, \mathfrak{V}_s^{j/n})$ [11]; dot stands for the *partial* derivative w.r.t. t.

In this section we assume that any function $\tilde{h} + a(t)$, where $a(t)$ is an arbitrary function of t, can be taken for $D^{-1}(h)$, if $h = D(\tilde{h})$ and $h, \tilde{h} \in \mathcal{A}$.

For $m = 2, \dots, n+1$ Eqs. (6), (7) represent a general solution of (5) for any NWD system with constraints (1)–(3). Hence, if at least one entry of the matrix $(\dot{c}_r(t)\Lambda^{-1/n} - rc_r(t)D_t(\Lambda^{-1/n}))$ does not belong to $\operatorname{Im} D$, then (1)–(3) has no formal symmetries from $FS_F^{(n+1)}(\mathcal{A})$ with a given $c_r(t)$.

For any $\mathfrak{P} \equiv \mathfrak{T}^{-1}c_p(t)\mathfrak{V}^{p/n}\mathfrak{T} + \cdots$ and $\mathfrak{Q} \equiv \mathfrak{T}^{-1}d_q(t)\mathfrak{V}^{q/n}\mathfrak{T} + \cdots$ we have

$$[\mathfrak{P}, \mathfrak{Q}] = \mathfrak{T}^{-1}(1/n)(pc_p(t)\dot{d}_q(t) - qd_q(t)\dot{c}_p(t))\mathfrak{V}^{\frac{p+q-n}{n}}\mathfrak{T} + \mathfrak{K} \tag{8}$$

by virtue of (6), provided $\mathfrak{P}, \mathfrak{Q} \in FS_F^{(n+1)}(\mathcal{A})$. Here $\mathfrak{K} \in \operatorname{Mat}_s(\mathcal{A})[\![D^{-1}]\!]$ is some formal series, $\deg \mathfrak{K} < p+q-n$.

Let $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{A}^s$, $\mathbf{R} \equiv [\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}]$. Then $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{Q}''[\mathbf{P}] - \mathbf{P}''[\mathbf{Q}] - [\mathbf{P}', \mathbf{Q}']$. If $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \in S_F(\mathcal{A})$, then (5) and (6) for $\mathfrak{R} = \mathbf{P}'$ and $\mathfrak{R} = \mathbf{Q}'$ imply $\deg \mathbf{P}''[\mathbf{Q}] \le p + n_0 - 2 < p+q-n$ and $\deg \mathbf{Q}''[\mathbf{P}] \le q + n_0 - 2 < p+q-n$ for $p, q > n + n_0 - 2$, $p \equiv \operatorname{ford} \mathbf{P}$, $q \equiv \operatorname{ford} \mathbf{Q}$. This result and (8) for $\mathfrak{P} = \mathbf{P}'$, $\mathfrak{Q} = \mathbf{Q}'$ yield

$$[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}]' = -\mathfrak{T}^{-1}(1/n)(pc_p(t)\dot{d}_q(t) - qd_q(t)\dot{c}_p(t))\mathfrak{V}^{\frac{p+q-n}{n}}\mathfrak{T} + \tilde{\mathfrak{K}}, \tag{9}$$

where $\tilde{\mathfrak{K}} \in \operatorname{Mat}_s(\mathcal{A})[\![D^{-1}]\!]$ is some formal series, $\deg \tilde{\mathfrak{K}} < p+q-n$.

So, if $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \in S_F(\mathcal{A})$, $p, q > n + n_0 - 2$, then $\operatorname{ford} \mathbf{R} \le p+q-n$. If $\mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{A}^s$, then $\mathbf{R} \in S_F^{(p+q-n)}(\mathcal{A})$, and $\mathbf{R} \in S_F^{(p+q-n-1)}(\mathcal{A})$, if $pc_p(t)\dot{d}_q(t) = qd_q(t)\dot{c}_p(t)$.

Let (1)–(3) have a nondegenerate formal symmetry $\mathfrak{R} \in \operatorname{Mat}_s(\mathcal{A})[\![D^{-1}]\!]$, $r \equiv \deg \mathfrak{R} \ne 0$, of rank $q > n$. Then $D_t(\rho_j^a) \in \operatorname{Im} D$, i.e., ρ_j^a are conserved densities, for $a = 1, \dots, s$ and $j = -1, 0, \dots, q-n-2$, where $\rho_0^a = \operatorname{res} \ln((\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{T}^{-1})^{1/r})_{aa}$ and $\rho_j^a = \operatorname{res}((\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{T}^{-1})^{j/r})_{aa}$ for $j \ne 0$, cf. [11]. For $n_0 < 2$ we have $\rho_j^a \in \operatorname{Im} D$ for all $a = 1, \dots, s$ and $j = -1, 0, \dots, -n_0$.

Proposition 2 *Let an NWD system with constraints (1)–(3) have a nondegenerate formal symmetry* $\mathfrak{R} \in \operatorname{Mat}_s(\mathcal{A})[\![D^{-1}]\!]$, $r \equiv \deg \mathfrak{R} \ne 0$, $q \equiv \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{R} > n$*; let for* $a = 1, \dots, s$ *there exist* $m_a \in \{-1, 1, 2, \dots, \min(n-2, q-n-2)\}$ *such that* $m_a \ne 0$, $\rho_{m_a}^a \notin \operatorname{Im} D$ *and* $\rho_j^a \in \operatorname{Im} D$ *for* $j = -1, 1 \dots, m_a - 1$, $j \ne 0$. *Then for each* $\mathfrak{P} \in FS_F^{(m+n+2)}(\mathcal{A})$, $m = \max\limits_a m_a$, *there exists a constant* $s \times s$ *diagonal matrix* c *such that* $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{T}^{-1}c\mathfrak{R}^{p/r}\mathfrak{T} + \cdots$, $p \equiv \deg \mathfrak{P}$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{R} \in FS_F^{(n+1)}(\mathcal{A})$, by (6) we have $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{T}^{-1}h(t)\mathfrak{V}^{r/n}\mathfrak{T} + \cdots$. For any $\mathfrak{P} \in FS_F^{(n+1)}(\mathcal{A})$ we can (cf. [6, 14] and (6)) represent $\tilde{\mathfrak{P}} \equiv \mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{T}^{-1}$ as

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{P}} = \sum_{j=p-n+1}^{p} c_j(t)\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^{j/r} + \frac{1}{n}\left(D^{-1}\left(\dot{c}_p(t)(h(t))^{n/r}\rho_{-1}\right)\right)\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^{\frac{p-n+1}{r}} + \tilde{\mathfrak{N}}. \tag{10}$$

Here $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}} \equiv \sum\limits_{j=-\infty}^{p-n} \tilde{b}_j D^j \in \operatorname{Mat}_s(\mathcal{A})[\![D^{-1}]\!]$, $c_j(t)$, $h(t)$, $\tilde{b}_{p-n}$ are diagonal $s \times s$ matrices, $\rho_{-1} \equiv \operatorname{diag}(\rho_{-1}^1, \dots, \rho_{-1}^s)$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}} = \mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{T}^{-1}$; the fractional powers $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^{j/r}$ are defined so that their first r coefficients are diagonal, cf. [11, 12].

For $\mathfrak{P} \in FS_F^{(d)}(\mathcal{A})$ we have $\deg(D_t(\tilde{\mathfrak{P}}) - [\mathfrak{V}, \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}]) \le n + p - d$, and thus $\deg(D_t(\tilde{\mathfrak{P}}_i) - [\mathfrak{V}, \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}_i]) \le n + p + i - \min(q, d)$ for $\tilde{\mathfrak{P}}_i \equiv \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^{i/r}$. Hence, for $-p-2 < i < \min(q, d) - n - p - 1$ we have $\operatorname{res}(D_t(\tilde{\mathfrak{P}}_i) - [\mathfrak{V}, \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}_i]) = 0$.

Let us plug (10) into this equality for $-p-2 < i < \min(q, d, 2n) - n - p - 1$ and break it into s scalar equations. Since $\operatorname{res}([\mathfrak{V}, \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}_i])_{aa} \in \operatorname{Im} D$ by Adler's formula, see e.g. [12], and $D_t(\rho_{j+i}^a) \in \operatorname{Im} D$ by assumption, we easily find that for any $\mathfrak{P} \in FS_F^{(m+n+2)}(\mathcal{A})$ we have $(\dot{c}_p(t))_{aa}\rho_{m_a}^a = 0$ modulo the terms from $\operatorname{Im} D$ for all $a = 1, \dots, s$. So, $\dot{c}_p(t) = 0$, and the result follows. □

Corollary 1 *Under the assumptions of Proposition 2, for any* $\mathbf{G} \in S_F(\mathcal{A})$, $k \equiv \operatorname{ford} \mathbf{G} \ge m + n + n_0$, *we have* $\mathbf{G}' = \mathfrak{T}^{-1}c\mathfrak{R}^{k/r}\mathfrak{T} + \cdots$, *where* c *is a constant* $s \times s$ *diagonal matrix.*

5 Symmetries of homogeneous NWD systems

Let (1)–(3) possess a scaling symmetry $\mathbf{D} = \alpha t \mathbf{F} + x\mathbf{u}_1 + \beta\mathbf{u}$, where $\beta = \mathrm{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$ is a diagonal matrix, $\alpha, \beta_j = \mathrm{const}$, and let the determining equations (2), (3) for ω_γ, $\gamma = 1, \dots, c$, be homogeneous with respect to $\mathbf{D}$. Then we shall call the evolution system with constraints (1)–(3) *homogeneous* w.r.t. $\mathbf{D}$, cf. e.g. [7, 8, 10, 20]. If a formal vector field $\mathbf{G}\partial/\partial\mathbf{u}$ is homogeneous of weight κ w.r.t. $\mathbf{D}$, then we shall say for short that $\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{A}^s$ itself is homogeneous of weight κ and write $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{G}) = \kappa$.

For homogeneous systems (1)–(3) there usually exists a basis in $S_F(\mathcal{A})$ made of homogeneous symmetries, and hence the requirement of homogeneity of $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ below is by no means restrictive. So, the phrase like "for all (homogeneous) $\mathbf{H} \in \mathcal{M}$ the condition P is true" below means that there exists a basis in $\mathcal{M}$ such that all its elements are homogeneous w.r.t. $\mathbf{D}$, and for all of them the condition P holds true. We have an obvious

Lemma 1 *Let (1)–(3) be a homogeneous system with constraints, and homogeneous* $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \in S_F(\mathcal{A})$ *be such that* $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}] \in \mathcal{M}$*, where* $\mathcal{M}$ *is a subspace of* $\mathcal{A}^s$*. Suppose that* $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{G}) \neq \mathrm{wt}([\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}]) = \mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{P}) + \mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{Q})$ *for all (homogeneous)* $\mathbf{G} \in S_F^{(p+q)}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{M}$*,* $p \equiv \mathrm{ford}\,\mathbf{P}$*,* $q \equiv \mathrm{ford}\,\mathbf{Q}$*. Then* $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}] = 0$.

This result, as well as other results below, allows to prove the commutativity for large *families* of symmetries at once. Examples below show that we can usually choose the subspaces like $\mathcal{M}$ large enough so that the condition $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}] \in \mathcal{M}$ can be verified for all symmetries in the family without actually computing $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}]$. On the other hand, by proper choice of these subspaces we can considerably reduce the number of weight-related conditions to be verified, and thus make the application of our results truly efficient.

Below in this section we assume that (1)–(3) is a homogeneous NWD system with constraints and $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \in S_F(\mathcal{A})$ are its *homogeneous* symmetries, $p \equiv \mathrm{ford}\,\mathbf{P}$, $q \equiv \mathrm{ford}\,\mathbf{Q}$. Note that if $p, q > n + n_0 - 2$, then by (9) we should verify the conditions of Lemma 1 only for $\mathbf{G} \in S_F^{(p+q-n)}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{M}$ (for $\mathbf{G} \in S_F^{(p+q-n-1)}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{M}$, if in addition $pc_p(t)\dot{d}_q(t) - qd_q(t)\dot{c}_p(t) = 0$).

5.1 Commutativity and time dependence of symmetries

Corollary 2 *Let* $\alpha \neq 0$*,* $\partial\Phi/\partial t = 0$ *and* $\partial X_\gamma/\partial t = \partial T_\gamma/\partial t = 0$*,* $\gamma = 1, \dots, c$*. Let homogeneous* $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \in \mathrm{Ann}_F(\mathcal{A})$ *be such that* $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}] \in \mathcal{L}$*, where* $\mathcal{L}$ *is a subspace of* $\mathcal{A}^s$*. Let* $p, q \geq b_F \equiv \min(\max(n_0, 0), n + n_0 - 1)$*, where* $p \equiv \mathrm{ford}\,\mathbf{P}$*,* $q \equiv \mathrm{ford}\,\mathbf{Q}$*. Suppose that* $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{G}) \neq (p+q)\alpha/n$ *for all (homogeneous)* $\mathbf{G} \in S_F^{(n_0-1)}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathrm{Ann}_F(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{L}$*. Then* $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}] = 0$.

Proof. If $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \in \mathrm{Ann}_F(\mathcal{A})$, $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}] \in \mathcal{A}^s$, $p, q \geq b_F$, then, using (6), (7) and (9), we find that $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}] \in \mathcal{N} \equiv S_F^{(p+q-1)}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathrm{Ann}_F(\mathcal{A})$. Eqs. (6) or (7) for $\mathfrak{R} = \mathbf{G}'$ imply $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{G}) = k\alpha/n \neq \mathrm{wt}([\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}]) = (p+q)\alpha/n$ for all homogeneous $\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{N}$ with $k \equiv \mathrm{ford}\,\mathbf{G} \geq n_0$. Hence, under our assumptions $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{G}) \neq (p+q)\alpha/n$ for all homogeneous $\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{M}$, and thus by Lemma 1 $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}] = 0$. □

For instance, for the integrable [21] equation $u_t = D^2(u_1^{-1/2}) + u_1^{3/2} \equiv K$ with $n_0 = 2$ and $\alpha = 3/2$ the space $S_K^{(1)}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{loc}}) \cap \mathrm{Ann}_K(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{loc}})$ is spanned by 1 and u_1, and $\mathrm{wt}(1), \mathrm{wt}(u_1) \leq 1 < \alpha(p+q)/n = (p+q)/2$ for $p, q \geq b_K = 2$. Hence, by Corollary 2 all (homogeneous) time-independent local generalized symmetries of formal order $p > 1$ for this equation commute.

Likewise, using Corollary 2, we can easily show that for any λ-homogeneous integrable evolution equation with $\lambda \geq 0$ from [8] all its x, t-independent homogeneous local generalized symmetries of formal order $k > 0$ commute.

If $n_0 \leq 0$ and, in addition to the conditions of Corollary 2 for $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{Q}$, the commutator $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}] \in S_F(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{loc}})$, $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}]$ is x, t-independent and $\mathrm{wt}([\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}]) \neq 0$, then $[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}] = 0$. The weight-related conditions are automatically satisfied, as the only x, t-independent symmetries in $S_F^{(n_0-1)}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{loc}})$ are constant ones, and their weight is zero. In particular, for *any* homogeneous (with $\alpha \neq 0$) NWD system of the form $\mathbf{u}_t = \Phi(x)\mathbf{u}_n + \Psi(x,t)\mathbf{u}_{n-1} + \mathbf{f}(x,t,\mathbf{u},\dots,\mathbf{u}_{n-2})$, where Φ, Ψ are $s \times s$ matrices, *all* homogeneous x,t-independent local generalized symmetries of formal order $k > 0$ commute.

Let $\mathfrak{R} \in FS_F^{(2)}(\mathcal{A})$ be a nondegenerate formal symmetry for (1)–(3), $r \equiv \deg \mathfrak{R} \neq 0$. Then by (7) $\mathfrak{R} = \Gamma^{-1}h(t)\Lambda^{r/n}\Gamma D^r + \cdots$, where $h(t) \equiv \operatorname{diag}(h_1(t),\dots,h_s(t))$ is a $s \times s$ diagonal matrix. Assume that $h(t)$ is homogeneous w.r.t. $\mathbf{D}$ and $\zeta_{\mathfrak{R}} \equiv (\alpha/n + \operatorname{wt}(h(t))/r) \neq 0$. Let $\mathrm{Z}_{F,\mathfrak{R}}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathbf{G} \in \mathrm{S}_F(\mathcal{A}) \mid k \equiv \operatorname{ford}\mathbf{G} \geq n_0;$ there exists a diagonal matrix $c(t)$, $\operatorname{wt}(c(t)) = 0$, such that $\mathbf{G}' = \Gamma^{-1}c(t)(h(t))^{k/r}\Lambda^{k/n}\Gamma D^k + \cdots\}$. We set here $(h(t))^{k/r} \equiv \operatorname{diag}((h_1(t))^{k/r},\dots,(h_s(t))^{k/r})$. Let also $\mathrm{St}_{F,\mathfrak{R}}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\mathbf{G} \in \mathrm{Z}_{F,\mathfrak{R}}(\mathcal{A}) \mid c(t)$ is a constant matrix$\}$, and $\mathrm{N}_{F,\mathfrak{R}}^{(j)}(\mathcal{A})$ be the set of symmetries $\mathbf{G} \in S_F(\mathcal{A})$ such that $k \equiv \operatorname{ford}\mathbf{G} \geq n_0$, $k \leq j$, and $\mathbf{G}' = \Gamma^{-1}c(t)(h(t))^{k/r}\Lambda^{k/n}\Gamma D^k + \cdots$, where $c(t)$ is an $s \times s$ diagonal matrix, different for different $\mathbf{G}$ and k, and the entries of $c(t)$ are linear combinations of functions of t, say, $\psi_b(t)$, such that for all b we have $\operatorname{wt}(\psi_b(t)) < \zeta_{\mathfrak{R}}(j-k)$ for $\zeta_{\mathfrak{R}} > 0$ and $\operatorname{wt}(\psi_b(t)) > \zeta_{\mathfrak{R}}(j-k)$ for $\zeta_{\mathfrak{R}} < 0$. For any homogeneous $\mathbf{G} \in \mathrm{N}_{F,\mathfrak{R}}^{(j)}(\mathcal{A})$ we have $\operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{G}) < j\zeta_{\mathfrak{R}}$ for $\zeta_{\mathfrak{R}} > 0$ and $\operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{G}) > j\zeta_{\mathfrak{R}}$ for $\zeta_{\mathfrak{R}} < 0$, so $\operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{H}) \neq \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{P})$ for any homogeneous $\mathbf{P} \in \mathrm{Z}_{F,\mathfrak{R}}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathbf{H} \in \mathrm{N}_{F,\mathfrak{R}}^{(\operatorname{ford}\mathbf{P})}(\mathcal{A})$.

Let $\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q} \in S_F(\mathcal{A})$ be homogeneous, and $[\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}] \in \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2$, where $\mathcal{L}_1$ is a subspace of $\mathrm{N}_{F,\mathfrak{R}}^{(j)}(\mathcal{A})$ for some j and $\mathfrak{R}$, and $\mathcal{L}_2$ is a subspace of $S_F^{(d)}(\mathcal{A})$ for some d. Assume that $\mathfrak{R}$ satisfies the above conditions, $\operatorname{wt}([\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}]) \geq j\zeta_{\mathfrak{R}}$ for $\zeta_{\mathfrak{R}} > 0$ and $\operatorname{wt}([\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}]) \leq j\zeta_{\mathfrak{R}}$ for $\zeta_{\mathfrak{R}} < 0$, and $\operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{H}) \neq \operatorname{wt}([\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}])$ for all (homogeneous) $\mathbf{H} \in \mathcal{L}_2/(\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathrm{N}_{F,\mathfrak{R}}^{(j)}(\mathcal{A}))$. Then by Lemma 1 $[\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}] = 0$.

Suppose that, in addition to the above conditions for $[\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}]$, we have $d < 0$, $\operatorname{wt}([\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}]) > 0$ for $\zeta_{\mathfrak{R}} > 0$ and $\operatorname{wt}([\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}]) < 0$ for $\zeta_{\mathfrak{R}} < 0$, and $[\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}]$ belongs to $S_F(\mathcal{A}_{\text{loc}})$ and can be represented (as function of t and x) as a polynomial in variables $\chi(t)$ and $\xi(x)$ such that $\operatorname{wt}(\chi(t)) < 0$ and $\operatorname{wt}(\xi(x)) < 0$ for $\zeta_{\mathfrak{R}} > 0$, and $\operatorname{wt}(\chi(t)) > 0$ and $\operatorname{wt}(\xi(x)) > 0$ for $\zeta_{\mathfrak{R}} < 0$. Then $[\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}] = 0$, and there is no further weight-related conditions to verify. Indeed, $S_F^{(d)}(\mathcal{A}_{\text{loc}})$ for any $d < 0$ is spanned by the symmetries of the form $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}(x,t)$, and for any homogeneous symmetry $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}(x,t)$ being a polynomial in $\chi(t)$ and $\xi(x)$ we obviously have $\operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{H}) \neq \operatorname{wt}([\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}])$.

Note that under the assumptions of Proposition 2 all $\mathbf{G} \in S_F(\mathcal{A})$ with $\operatorname{ford}\mathbf{G} \geq m+n+n_0$ belong to $\mathrm{St}_{F,\mathfrak{R}}(\mathcal{A})$ by Corollary 1. Suppose that $\mathfrak{R}$ satisfies the conditions, given above. Let $d = \min(m+n+n_0-1, p+q)$. Then for any $\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q} \in S_F(\mathcal{A})$ such that $[\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}] \in \mathcal{A}^s$ we have $[\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}] \in \mathrm{N}_{F,\mathfrak{R}}^{(p+q)}(\mathcal{A}) \cup S_F^{(d)}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $[\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}] = 0$ for homogeneous $\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q} \in \mathrm{Z}_{F,\mathfrak{R}}(\mathcal{A})$, once $\operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{H}) \neq \operatorname{wt}([\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}])$ for all (homogeneous) $\mathbf{H} \in S_F^{(d)}(\mathcal{A})/(S_F^{(d)}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathrm{N}_{F,\mathfrak{R}}^{(p+q)}(\mathcal{A}))$. If $p,q > n+n_0-2$, then by (9) we can take $d = \min(m+n+n_0-1, p+q-n)$ (or $d = \min(m+n+n_0-1, p+q-n-1)$, if $pc_p(t)\dot{d}_q(t) - qd_q(t)\dot{c}_p(t) = 0$).

If $\partial\mathbf{F}/\partial t = \partial X_\gamma/\partial t = \partial T_\gamma/\partial t = 0$, $\gamma = 1,\dots,c$, then $\mathbf{F} \in S_F(\mathcal{A})$, and $\partial\mathbf{P}/\partial t = [\mathbf{P},\mathbf{F}] \in S_F^{(p)}(\mathcal{A})$ for $\mathbf{P} \in S_F(\mathcal{A})$. So, taking $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{F}$ and imposing the extra condition $d \leq p$ in three previous paragraphs yields valid results.

We also have the following

Proposition 3 *Let* $\alpha \neq 0$ *and* $\partial\mathbf{F}/\partial t = 0$, $\partial X_\gamma/\partial t = \partial T_\gamma/\partial t = 0$, $\gamma = 1,\dots,c$; *let homogeneous* $\mathbf{P} \in S_F(\mathcal{A})$ *be such that* $p \equiv \operatorname{ford}\mathbf{P} \geq n_0$, $\operatorname{ford}\partial\mathbf{P}/\partial t < p$ *and* $[\mathbf{P},\mathbf{F}] \in \mathcal{L}$, *where* $\mathcal{L}$ *is a subspace of* $\mathcal{A}^s$. *Suppose that* $\operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{G}) \neq (p+n)\alpha/n$ *for all (homogeneous)* $\mathbf{G} \in S_F^{(p-1)}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{L}$ *such that* $\mathbf{G} \notin \mathrm{N}_{F,\mathbf{F}'}^{(p+n)}(\mathcal{A})$. *Then* $[\mathbf{P},\mathbf{F}] = 0$, *and thus* $\partial\mathbf{P}/\partial t = 0$ *and* $\mathbf{P} \in \mathrm{Ann}_F(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. As $\operatorname{ford}\partial\mathbf{P}/\partial t < p$, we have $\partial\mathbf{P}/\partial t = [\mathbf{P},\mathbf{F}] \in S_F^{(p-1)}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{M}$. The conditions $\operatorname{ford}\partial\mathbf{P}/\partial t < p$ and $p \geq n_0$ by virtue of (6) or (7) for $\mathfrak{R} = \mathbf{P}'$ readily imply $\operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{P}) = p\alpha/n$. Hence $\operatorname{wt}([\mathbf{P},\mathbf{F}]) = (p+n)\alpha/n$, and thus by Lemma 1 $[\mathbf{P},\mathbf{F}] = 0$. □

Let $\alpha > 0$, $\partial\mathbf{F}/\partial t = 0$, $\partial X_\gamma/\partial t = \partial T_\gamma/\partial t = 0$, $\gamma = 1,\dots,c$, and homogeneous $\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q} \in \mathrm{St}_{F,\mathbf{F}'}(\mathcal{A})$, $p,q \geq n_0$, be polynomials in t. If we take the space of symmetries from $S_F(\mathcal{A})$ polynomial in time t, for $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, and set $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathrm{N}_{F,\mathbf{F}'}^{(p+q)}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, $\mathcal{L}_2 = S_F^{(n_0-1)}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, $d = n_0 - 1$, then $[\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}] \in \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 \equiv \mathcal{M}$, and thus the weight-related conditions of Lemma 1, Corollary 2, Proposition 3, etc., are to be checked only for (homogeneous) $\mathbf{G} \in \mathcal{L}_2$. Furthermore, if $n_0 \leq 0$, then $S_F^{(n_0-1)}(\mathcal{A}_{\rm loc})$ contains only the symmetries $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}(x,t)$, and so *any* homogeneous local generalized symmetry $\mathbf{K}$ of formal order $k > 0$ being polynomial in t and x and such that $\partial^2\mathbf{K}/\partial\mathbf{u}_k\partial t = 0$ is in fact time-independent, and any two such symmetries commute. This result applies e.g. to *any* homogeneous NWD system with $\alpha > 0$ having the form $\mathbf{u}_t = \Phi(x)\mathbf{u}_n + \Psi(x)\mathbf{u}_{n-1} + \mathbf{f}(x, \mathbf{u}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{n-2})$, where Φ, Ψ are $s \times s$ matrices.

5.2 Master symmetries of homogeneous NWD systems

Corollary 3 *Let* $\alpha \neq 0$, $\partial\Phi/\partial t = 0$ *and* $\partial X_\gamma/\partial t = \partial T_\gamma/\partial t = 0$, $\gamma = 1, \dots, c$. *Suppose that there exist a homogeneous* $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathrm{Ann}_F(\mathcal{A})$ *and a homogeneous* $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{A}^s$ *such that* $\partial\boldsymbol{\tau}/\partial t = 0$, $\partial[\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{F}]/\partial t = 0$, $\mathbf{K} = \boldsymbol{\tau} + t[\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{F}] \in S_F(\mathcal{A})$, $q \equiv \mathrm{ford}\,\mathbf{Q} > n + n_0 - 2$, $b \equiv \mathrm{ford}[\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{F}] > \max(\mathrm{ford}\,\boldsymbol{\tau}, n)$, *the formal series* $([\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{F}])'$ *is nondegenerate,* $[[\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{F}], \mathbf{Q}] \in \mathcal{L}$, *where* $\mathcal{L}$ *is a subspace of* $\mathcal{A}^s$, $[\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{Q}] \in \mathcal{A}^s$. *Let* $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{H}) \neq (b+q)\alpha/n$ *for all (homogeneous)* $\mathbf{H} \in \mathcal{L} \cap S_F^{(n_0-1)}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathrm{Ann}_F(\mathcal{A})$. *Then* $\mathbf{Q}_1 = [\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{Q}] \in \mathrm{Ann}_F(\mathcal{A})$, *and* $\mathrm{ford}\,\mathbf{Q}_1 > q$.

Proof. From (4) with $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{K}$ it clear that $[\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{F}] \in \mathrm{Ann}_F(\mathcal{A})$, so by Corollary 2 $[[\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{F}], \mathbf{Q}] = 0$, whence, using $[\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{Q}] = 0$ and the Jacobi identity, we find that $[\mathbf{F}, [\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{Q}]] = 0$, so $[\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{Q}] \in \mathrm{Ann}_F(\mathcal{A})$. By (9) the nondegeneracy of $([\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{F}])'$ readily implies $\mathrm{ford}[\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{Q}] = \mathrm{ford}[\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{Q}] = b + q - n > q$. $\square$

Theorem 1 *Let the conditions of Corollary 3 be satisfied,* $\mathrm{ad}^j_{[\boldsymbol{\tau},\mathbf{F}]}(\mathbf{Q}) \in \mathcal{L}_j$, *where* $\mathcal{L}_j$ *are some subspaces of* $\mathcal{A}^s$, $\mathbf{Q}_j \equiv \mathrm{ad}^j_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\mathbf{Q}) \in \mathcal{A}^s$, *and* $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{H}) \neq ((b-n)j + q + n)\alpha/n$ *for all (homogeneous)* $\mathbf{H} \in \mathcal{L}_j \cap S_F^{(n_0-1)}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathrm{Ann}_F(\mathcal{A})$, $j = 2, \dots, i$. *Then* $\mathbf{Q}_j \in \mathrm{Ann}_F(\mathcal{A})$ *and* $\mathrm{ford}\,\mathbf{Q}_j > \mathrm{ford}\,\mathbf{Q}_{j-1}$, $j = 1, \dots, i$.

The proof of the theorem consists in replacing in Corollary 3 the symmetry $\mathbf{Q}$ by $\mathbf{Q}_j = \mathrm{ad}^j_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\mathbf{Q})$ and repeated use of this corollary for $j = 2, \dots, i$. Note that we can easily verify that $\mathrm{ad}^j_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\mathbf{Q}) \in \mathcal{A}^s$, using Proposition 1.

Thus, Proposition 1, Corollary 3 and Theorem 1 enable us to ensure that $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ indeed is a nontrivial master symmetry, producing a sequence of symmetries of infinitely growing formal orders, without assuming *a priori* the existence of hereditary recursion operator [5] or e.g. of "negative" master symmetries $\boldsymbol{\tau}_j$, $j < 0$ [19]. So, our results provide a useful complement to the known general results on master symmetries, cf. e.g. [3, 4, 5, 19].

It is important to stress that in general the symmetries $\mathbf{Q}_i$ are not obliged to commute pairwise. The check of their commutativity and picking out the commutative subset in the sequence of $\mathbf{Q}_i$ can be performed using either the results of present paper or other methods, see e.g. [1, 4, 5, 19].

We often can take $[\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{F}]$ or $\mathbf{F}$ for $\mathbf{Q}$, and then in order to use Theorem 1 it suffices to know only a suitable 'candidate' $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ for the master symmetry.

For instance, integrable Harry Dym equation $u_t = u^3 u_3 \equiv H$, see e.g. [1, 14], satisfies the conditions of Proposition 1 and of Theorem 1 for all $i = 2, 3, \dots$ with $\alpha = 3$, $b = 5$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\Omega_{\mathrm{UAC},H})$, $\boldsymbol{\tau} = u^3 D^3(u\omega_1) \equiv \boldsymbol{\tau}_0 + u^3 u_3 \omega_1$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{\rm loc}$, $\mathbf{Q} = [\boldsymbol{\tau}, u^3 u_3] = 3u^5 u_5 + \cdots \in \mathrm{Ann}_H(\mathcal{A})$. In particular, the nonlocal variable ω_1 in $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is defined by means of the relations $\partial\omega_1/\partial t = -uu_2 - u_1^2/2$, $\partial\omega_1/\partial x = u^{-1}$ (informally, $\omega_1 = D^{-1}(u^{-1})$). Thus, by Theorem 1 $\mathbf{Q}_j = \mathrm{ad}^j_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\mathbf{Q}) \in \mathrm{Ann}_H(\mathcal{A})$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, together with $\mathbf{Q}_{-1} \equiv u^3 u_3 \in \mathrm{Ann}_H(\mathcal{A}_{\rm loc})$ and $\mathbf{Q}_0 \equiv \mathbf{Q}$ form the infinite hierarchy of time-independent symmetries for the Harry Dym equation. The commutativity of $\mathbf{Q}_j$, $j = -1, 0, 1, \dots$, readily follows from Corollary 2. Note that it is possible to show that $\mathbf{Q}_j$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$, are in fact *local* generalized symmetries of Harry Dym equation and coincide with the members of hierarchy generated by means of the recursion operator $\mathfrak{R} = u^3 D^3 \circ u \circ D^{-1} \circ u^{-2}$ from the seed symmetry $u^3 u_3$ up to the constant multiples.

Acknowledgements

I am sincerely grateful to the organizers of NATO ARW *Noncommutative Structures in Mathematics and Physics* for inviting me to participate and to give a talk and for their hospitality. It is also my great pleasure to thank Profs. M. Błaszak, B. Fuchssteiner, B. Kupershmidt, P. Olver and V.V. Sokolov for stimulating discussions and comments. Last but not least, I acknowledge with deep gratitude Dr. M. Marvan's reading the drafts of this paper and making a lot of precise remarks, which considerably improved it.

This research was supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of Czech Republic, Grants CEZ:J10/98:192400002 and VS 96003.

References

[1] P. Olver, *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[2] A.S. Fokas, *Symmetries and integrability*, Stud. Appl. Math. **77**: 3 (1987), 253–299.

[3] M. Błaszak, *Multi-Hamiltonian Theory of Dynamical Systems*, Springer-Verlag, Heildelberg, 1998.

[4] B. Fuchssteiner, *Mastersymmetries, higher order time-dependent symmetries and conserved densities of nonlinear evolution equations*, Progr. Theor. Phys. **70** (1983), 1508–1522.

[5] W. Oevel, *A geometrical approach to integrable systems admitting time dependent invariants*, in Topics in Soliton Theory and Exactly Solvable Nonlinear Equations (Oberwolfach, 1986) (M. Ablowitz, B. Fuchssteiner, M. Kruskal, eds.), World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 1987, pp. 108–124.

[6] V.V. Sokolov, *On the symmetries of evolution equations*, Russ. Math. Surveys **43**: 5 (1988), 165–204.

[7] P.J. Olver, V.V. Sokolov, *Integrable evolution equations on associative algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **193** (1998), 245–268.

[8] J.A. Sanders and J.P. Wang, *On the integrability of homogeneous scalar evolution equations*, J. Differential Equations, **147** (1998), 410–434.

[9] A.H. Bilge, *On the equivalence of linearization and formal symmetries as integrability tests for evolution equations*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **26** (1993), 7511–7519.

[10] J.P. Wang, *Symmetries and Conservation Laws of Evolution Equations*, Ph.D. Thesis, Vrije Universiteit van Amsterdam, 1998.

[11] A.V. Mikhailov, A.B. Shabat, and R.I. Yamilov, *The symmetry approach to classification of nonlinear equations. Complete lists of integrable systems*, Russ. Math. Surveys **42**:4 (1987), 1–63.

[12] A.V. Mikhailov, A.B. Shabat and V.V. Sokolov, *The symmetry approach to classification of integrable equations*, in What is Integrability? (V.E. Zakharov, ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, pp. 115–184.

[13] A.V. Mikhailov, R.I. Yamilov, *Towards classification of (2+1)-dimensional integrable equations. Integrability conditions. I*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **31** (1998), 6707–6715.

[14] N.H. Ibragimov, *Transformation Groups Applied to Mathematical Physics*, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Boston, 1985.

[15] F.Kh. Mukminov, V.V. Sokolov, *Integrable evolution equations with constraints*, Mat. Sb.(N.S.) **133(175)**:3 (1987), 392–414.

[16] V.V. Sokolov, T. Wolf, *A symmetry test for quasilinear coupled systems*, Inverse Problems **15** (1999), L5–L11.

[17] M.V. Foursov, *Classification of certain type integrable coupled potential KdV and modified KdV-type equations*, J. Math. Phys. **41** (2000), 6173–6185.

[18] B. Fuchssteiner, *Integrable nonlinear evolution equations with time-dependent coefficients*, J. Math. Phys. **34** (1993), 5140–5158.

[19] I. Dorfman, *Dirac Structures and Integrability of Nonlinear Evolution Equations*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1993.

[20] *Symmetries and Conservation Laws for Differential Equations of Mathematical Physics* (I.S. Krasil'shchik and A.M. Vinogradov, eds.), American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1999.

[21] J.A. Cavalcante and K. Tenenblat, *Conservation laws for nonlinear evolution equations*, J. Math. Phys. **29** (1988), 1044–1049.